

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
10/811,692	03/29/2004	Ricci L. Marzolf	00917P0182US	1347	
32116 7.	590 11/07/2006	EXAMINER			
WOOD, PHII	LLIPS, KATZ, CLAF	BARRETT, SUZAN	BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO		
500 W. MADIS	SON STREET				_
SUITE 3800.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		3676	· · ·	•

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,692	MARZOLF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676		

		Suzanne Dino Danett	3070	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 13 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. Ir
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I		-	
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Ò6.07(f).		
have under set fo may r	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit of ToFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the softh in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	NDMENTS	•		
3. 🔀	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	 (a) \infty They raise new issues that would require further co (b) \infty They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		i E below);	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	,
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. 📋	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-11,13,41,42. Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-35 and 38-40</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. C	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	` ' '	,
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER *		, ,	
11. [The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. 🛚	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🛚	Other:		AMI	1_
			XX US	-9
			Suzanne Dino Barr	ett
			Primary Examiner	
			Art Unit: 3676	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The 'third state" as set forth in claim 14 is still not clearly understood despite the recitation on page 31 of the specification.